JAFM: Journal of Accounting and Finance Management
E-ISSN: 2721-3013
P-ISSN: 2721-3005
https://dinastires.org/JAFM dinasti.info@gmail.com +62 811 7404 455
**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.38035/jafm.v6i5


# Analysis of Green Sukuk Initiatives for Increasing Non-Tax Profit-Sharing Funds and Alleviating Poverty in Rural Areas

**Agung Budilaksono**
Study Program State Financial Management, State Finance Polytechnic (PKN) STAN, Indonesia, budilaksono1000@pknstan.ac.id

Corresponding Author: budilaksono1000@pknstan.ac.id

**Abstract:** This study addresses the issue of limited empirical evidence regarding the tangible impact of Green Sukuk on regional finances and rural poverty in Indonesia, despite the instrument's implementation since 2018. The research aims to measure and analyze the impact of Green Sukuk on increasing Non-Tax Revenue Sharing Funds (DBH Non-Pajak) and reducing poverty in rural areas during the 2018-2024 period. Quantitative data were collected from official sources, including Green Sukuk allocations (Ministry of Finance), rural poverty percentages (BPS), and DBH Non-Pajak realizations (Directorate General of Fiscal Balance). The analytical method employs the Truncated Derivative approach with the estimation of two separate linear regression models to measure the rate of change (RDY) of dependent variables relative to changes in Green Sukuk. Data processing results indicate that Green Sukuk has a significant and positive impact: every increase of 1 trillion Rupiah in average Green Sukuk reduces the poverty change rate by 0.215% (p=0.032) and increases the DBH Non-Pajak change rate by 4.128% (p=0.041), with model explanatory power of 89.2% and 78.5%, respectively. The study concludes by confirming the effectiveness of Green Sukuk as a double dividend instrument that simultaneously promotes social justice and regional fiscal resilience, thereby necessitating optimized policy for allocation and distribution that is more integrated with regional development.

**Keywords:** Green Sukuk, Poverty Alleviation, Non-Tax Revenue Sharing Funds, Truncated Derivative, Double Dividend

## INTRODUCTION

Climate change and poverty are two interconnected, multidimensional challenges, particularly in developing countries like Indonesia (World Bank, 2020). Data from Statistics Indonesia (BPS) (2023) shows that the poverty rate in rural areas (12.22%) remains significantly higher than in urban areas (7.63%). Rural communities, whose livelihoods depend on the agrarian sector and natural resources, are the most vulnerable to the impacts of climate change, such as crop failures and water scarcity (Alam & Rahman, 2020; Djalante, 2019). On the other hand, the funding needs for sustainable development and climate action are immense. It is in this context that Green Sukuk emerges as a promising financial innovation, aligning

green finance, Islamic finance principles, and inclusive development (Nurhayati & Asrori, 2022).

Indonesia has positioned itself as a global pioneer in the Green Sukuk ecosystem (Ministry of Finance of the Republic of Indonesia, 2018). Its development began with the issuance of the world's first sovereign Green Sukuk in 2018, a historic milestone. This inaugural issuance of USD 1.25 billion not only opened a new market but also affirmed Indonesia's commitment to the Paris Agreement (United Nations, 2015). This momentum was continued with a second issuance in 2021 worth USD 3.25 billion, demonstrating a very positive market response (Ministry of Finance of the Republic of Indonesia, 2021). As of 2023, the Indonesian government has consistently issued Green Sukuk, with total cumulative issuance in both the domestic (Retail State Sukuk) and international markets reaching hundreds of trillions of rupiah. The successfully raised funds are specifically allocated to green projects in five priority sectors based on the Indonesian Green Taxonomy, namely renewable energy, energy efficiency, climate resilience and disaster management, waste and pollution management, and sustainable land use (Ministry of Finance of the Republic of Indonesia, 2023; OJK, 2023).

The relevance to poverty alleviation in rural areas becomes highly strategic when examining the contract structure and fund allocation of Green Sukuk. Several funded projects, such as micro-hydro and solar power plants in rural areas, agroforestry, and peatland restoration, not only reduce emissions but also create new local economies (Suryanto et al., 2022). Through profit-sharing contracts (mudharabah or musyarakah), the operational profits from these projects—for example, from selling electricity to the state-owned company PLN or from non-timber forest products—can be shared with local governments as Non-Tax Revenue Sharing Funds. This fund is a source of local revenue (PAD) derived from the management of state assets, not from taxes. Thus, Green Sukuk offers a sustainable mechanism: building green infrastructure, creating local jobs, and simultaneously injecting a new, sustainable source of income for regional budgets, which can then be allocated for more targeted poverty alleviation programs (Suryanto et al., 2022).

However, behind the promising narrative and the government's strong commitment, a critical question arises: What is the actual impact of the existence of Indonesian Green Sukuk on increasing Non-Tax Revenue Sharing Funds and reducing poverty in rural areas? Although this instrument has been in existence for over five years and the funds raised are very significant, empirical evidence quantifying its socio-economic impact at the grassroots level remains very limited and scattered (Suryanto et al., 2022). The Allocation and Impact Reports published by the government tend to focus on physical outputs and emission reductions, while the impact on regional income and poverty reduction has often not been the focus of rigorous measurement (Ministry of Finance of the Republic of Indonesia, 2023). There is a gap between the optimistic macro-level narrative and the limited micro-level evidence, including the lack of clarity regarding governance models that ensure the economic benefits of projects can effectively become local revenue and directly improve the welfare of the poor communities around project sites. Therefore, a systematic review is urgently needed to map, consolidate, and evaluate all existing evidence, to provide a clear picture of the tangible contribution of Green Sukuk to regional finances and the welfare of rural communities. Based on the background above, the main research question for this systematic review is "What is the impact of the implementation of Indonesian Green Sukuk on increasing regional Non-Tax Revenue Sharing Funds and reducing poverty in rural areas based on evidence in the literature?".

## METHOD

### Approach and Observation Period

This study employs a Truncated Derivative Analysis approach to analyze the impact of Government Green Sukuk Funds on dependent variables, following the methodological

framework developed by Miller and Wang (2019). This method was chosen because it effectively estimates the instantaneous rate of change of a dependent variable relative to an independent variable at specific points within a truncated time interval, allowing for precise modeling of dynamic relationships where data are limited or censored in time. Additionally, the approach accommodates nonlinear responses and abrupt shifts in the dependent variable, which are common in financial and economic datasets involving policy interventions.

The observation period spans from 2018 to 2024, selected based on two key considerations: 1) Historical Milestone: The year 2018 marks Indonesia's pioneering issuance of the world's first sovereign Green Sukuk (Ministry of Finance of Indonesia, 2018), establishing the baseline for policy intervention analysis; 2) Data Completeness: This timeframe captures medium-term trends and impacts of sustained Green Sukuk issuance, including multiplier effects and lagged impacts of funded projects (Suryanto et al., 2022).

**Data Sources and Operational Definitions of Variables**

All data are sourced from official institutions to ensure validity and reliability:

a) Government Green Sukuk Fund (G): Total funds raised and allocated through sovereign Green Sukuk issuance, measured in trillion Rupiah. Data Source: Annual Green Sukuk Allocation and Impact Reports (Ministry of Finance of Indonesia, 2018-2023).
b) Rural Poverty Percentage (P): Percentage of rural population below the national poverty line, measured annually. Data Source: Official poverty statistics from Badan Pusat Statistik (BPS, 2018-2023).
c) Non-Tax Revenue Sharing Fund (D): Total regional revenue from non-tax revenue sharing, including natural resource management and state enterprise profits, measured in trillion Rupiah. Data Source: Regional Finance Statistics from the Directorate General of Fiscal Balance (2018-2023).

**General Equation Model**

The analysis adapts the Truncated Derivative framework (Miller & Wang, 2019) to examine the instantaneous impact of Green Sukuk Funds. The fundamental relationship is expressed as (basic model):

$$\frac{dYt}{dGt} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 G_t + \beta_{2t} + \in_t \qquad (1)$$

Where:

$\frac{dYt}{dGt}$ represents the rate of change of dependent variables (P or D) relative to Green Sukuk Fund changes, approximated using annual growth rates (Amin et al., 2021).
$G_t$ denotes Green Sukuk Funds in year *t*.
$t$ captures temporal trends.
$\epsilon_t$ is the error term.

The Truncated Derivative method adapted in this study represents an innovative approach in analyzing the impact of green fiscal policy (Miller & Wang, 2019). Methodologically, this approach applies the concept of mathematical derivatives to truncated time series data to measure the instantaneous impact of policy interventions. The fundamental equation (dYt)/dGt = β0 + β1Gt + β2 t + ∈t is a discrete form of the continuous derivative concept, implemented through a finite difference approach to accommodate the limitations of annual time series data.

Within this methodological framework, the dependent variable (dYt/dGt) mathematically represents the rate of change of development outcomes relative to changes in Green Sukuk fund allocations (Amin et al., 2021). This approach directly estimates marginal effects, unlike conventional regression models that only measure relationships between variable levels. Implementation through first difference (dYt/dGt) enables feasible approximation of the derivative concept while addressing non-stationarity issues common in time series data.

The model specification that includes the *Gt* variable on the right side of the equation is an important methodological innovation, allowing for the distinction between the immediate impact of marginal fund changes and the cumulative effects of allocation accumulation. The time trend variable (t) serves as a control for exogenous factors that develop linearly, ensuring that parameter estimates focus on the causal impact of Green Sukuk.

From an implementation perspective, this method is operationalized through panel data econometrics with Fixed Effects models to control for unobserved heterogeneity (Hakim et al., 2023; Rahman et al., 2023). This approach produces consistent estimators by utilizing within-unit variation over time, while addressing potential bias from time-invariant omitted variables.

The advantage of the Truncated Derivative method lies in its ability to provide more accurate estimates of immediate policy responses, which are often overlooked in convent nya bukan tional models. This method is particularly suitable for evaluating policies with relatively rapid impact cycles like Green Sukuk, where renewable energy projects and green infrastructure tend to show effects in the medium term.

From an internal validity perspective, this method enables stronger causal identification through the combination of derivative approaches and fixed effects controls. The estimated coefficients β1 and γ1 provide directly interpretable quantitative measures as impact elasticities, making significant contributions to evidence-based policy making in the context of sustainable development.

Rural Poverty Model (P):

$$\frac{\Delta P_t}{dG_t} = \alpha_1 + \beta_1 G_t + \beta_{2t} + \in_{1t} \qquad (2)$$

Non-Tax Revenue Sharing Funds Model (D):

$$\frac{\Delta D_t}{dG_t} = \alpha_2 + \gamma_1 G_t + \gamma_{2t} + \in_{2t} \qquad (3)$$

This model analyzes the impact of Green Sukuk on two crucial aspects: rural poverty reduction (P) and the increase of Non-Tax Revenue Sharing Funds (D). Equation (2) measures how effective Green Sukuk is in reducing poverty, where the coefficient $\beta_1$ indicates the magnitude of its influence. Meanwhile, Equation (3) evaluates Green Sukuk's contribution to increasing regional revenue through Non-Tax Revenue Sharing Funds, with $\gamma_1$ reflecting the strength of this effect. Both models also account for the time factor (t) to isolate long-term trends. Through this approach, the effectiveness of Green Sukuk as an instrument for sustainable development financing can be measured quantitatively and comprehensively.

**Empirical Approach**

In practical application, the derivative concept within the *Truncated Derivative* framework is operationalized using a first-difference approximation. The instantaneous rate of change of a dependent variable Y (which can represent either rural poverty P or Non-Tax Revenue Sharing Funds D) with respect to changes in Green Sukuk allocations G is calculated for each year tt using the following finite difference formula:

$$RDY_t = \frac{Y_t - Y_{t-1}}{G_t - G_{t-1}} \qquad (4)$$

where $RDY_t$ is the *rate of change* of the dependent variable relative to Green Sukuk.

Model 1: Impact of Green Sukuk on Poverty

$$RDP_t = \alpha_1 + \beta_1 G_t + \beta_2 t + \in_{1t} \qquad (5)$$

Model 2: Impact of Green Sukuk on Non-Tax Revenue Sharing Funds

$$RDD_t = \alpha_2 + \gamma_1 G_t + \gamma_2 t + \in_{2t} \quad (6)$$

Where:

$RDP_t$ : *Rate of change* of rural poverty
$RDD_t$ : *Rate of change* of Non-Tax Revenue Sharing Funds
$G_t$ : Average Green Sukuk in period *t* and *t−1*
$t$ : Time trend (2018=1, 2019=2, ..., 2024=7)

**Analysis Procedure**

1. Calculate *Rate of Change*:

$$RDP_t = \frac{P_t - P_{t-1}}{G_t - G_{t-1}} \quad (7)$$

$$RDD_t = \frac{D_t - D_{t-1}}{G_t - G_{t-1}} \quad (8)$$

2. Estimate Model using OLS
3. Test Significance of coefficients $\beta 1$ and $\gamma 1$

**Coefficient Interpretation**

$\beta_1 < 0$: Poverty Reduction Impact

A negative value for coefficient $\beta_1$ indicates an inverse relationship between Green Sukuk allocations and the rate of poverty change. This means that increased green financing correlates with a reduction in rural poverty rates. The negative sign aligns with the theoretical mechanism whereby green infrastructure investments create employment and enhance rural economic productivity.

$\gamma_1 > 0$ : Regional Revenue Enhancement Impact

A positive value for coefficient $\gamma_1$ shows a direct relationship between Green Sukuk and the growth of Non-Tax Revenue Sharing Funds. This reflects the contribution of green financing in strengthening regional fiscal capacity through profit-sharing mechanisms and stimulation of local economic activity.

$\beta_2$, $\gamma_2$ : Autonomous Temporal Trends

These coefficients capture structural changes occurring independently of Green Sukuk interventions. They represent the influence of exogenous factors such as general economic growth, technological developments, and other policies not specifically modeled in this study.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Green Sukuk has emerged as an innovative Islamic financial instrument that combines environmental sustainability with sharia principles. Since Indonesia pioneered sovereign Green Sukuk in 2018, there has been growing interest in its potential impacts beyond environmental benefits, particularly on regional finances and rural poverty reduction. This literature review examines existing evidence regarding Green Sukuk's impact on Non-Tax Revenue Sharing Funds (DBH Non-Pajak) and poverty alleviation in rural areas.

Research indicates that Green Sukuk projects have begun contributing to regional revenue streams through various mechanisms. A study by Suryanto et al. (2022) found that renewable energy projects financed through Green Sukuk in East Nusa Tenggara generated new revenue sources for local governments through profit-sharing arrangements. The projects, particularly mini-hydro power plants, created a new revenue stream that accounted for approximately 15% of the region's non-tax revenue in 2021.

The mechanism works through operational profits from Green Sukuk-funded projects being shared with local governments. According to the Ministry of Finance's Green Sukuk Impact Report (2023), projects in the energy and waste management sectors have established clear revenue-sharing models where 30% of net profits are allocated to regional DBH Non-Pajak. This has been particularly significant in regions with abundant renewable resources but limited conventional revenue sources.

However, the distribution of these benefits remains uneven. Research by Amin et al. (2021) highlights that regions with stronger institutional capacity and better-prepared project proposals tend to receive more green Sukuk allocations, creating disparities in revenue enhancement across different regions.

The impact of Green Sukuk on rural poverty manifests through multiple channels. First, the construction and operation of green infrastructure projects create employment opportunities. Data from the National Development Planning Agency (2022) shows that Green Sukuk-funded projects generated approximately 45,000 temporary jobs and 15,000 permanent positions in rural areas between 2018-2022.

Second, improved access to clean energy and sustainable agriculture technologies enhances productivity and reduces living costs for rural households. A case study in West Java by Rahman et al. (2023) demonstrated that solar-powered irrigation systems funded through Green Sukuk increased agricultural yields by 25% and reduced energy costs by 40% for participating farmers.

Third, the revenue sharing mechanism enables local governments to increase spending on poverty alleviation programs. In Lombok, local authorities utilized increased DBH Non-Pajak from geothermal projects to fund vocational training programs that reached over 5,000 low-income households (Sari & Putra, 2022).

Despite these positive impacts, several challenges persist. The time lag between project initiation and revenue generation often delays poverty reduction effects. Additionally, complex administrative requirements and limited local government capacity hinder optimal implementation in some regions (Hakim et al., 2023).

The literature suggests that Green Sukuk has begun contributing to regional DBH Non-Pajak and poverty reduction, though the impacts vary across regions and sectors. More comprehensive studies are needed to quantify these effects systematically and optimize the instrument's design for greater developmental impact.

This section presents the empirical findings from the application of Truncated Derivative Analysis to measure the instantaneous impact of Green Sukuk on rural poverty and Non-Tax Revenue Sharing Funds during the 2018–2024 period. Following the explanation of the methodological framework that adapts the Miller & Wang (2019) approach using annual rate-of-change approximations (Amin et al., 2021), this section will detail the results of rate-of-change calculations, regression model estimations, and in-depth interpretation of the obtained coefficients. The discussion will link the statistically significant findings—such as the negative effect of Green Sukuk on poverty and its positive effect on regional revenue—to the context of green fiscal policy and sustainable development mechanisms, while also evaluating the effectiveness of the model based on its goodness-of-fit values.

**Impact Rates (RDY) and Green Sukuk Averages ($\overline{G_t}$)**

Rate of Change (RDY) is a metric that measures how quickly a dependent variable (such as the poverty percentage or the amount of revenue-sharing funds) changes in response to changes in Green Sukuk funds over a specific period. Mathematically, RDY is calculated as the ratio of the difference in the dependent variable's value between two consecutive years ($Y_t - Y_{t-1}$) divided by the difference in Green Sukuk allocations over the same period ($G_t - G_{t-1}$). This method, used as an approximation of the derivative concept (Amin et al., 2021), allows researchers to quantify the marginal impact or instantaneous effect of each additional

trillion rupiah of Green Sukuk funds on the target variables. In other words, RDY indicates the sensitivity of changes in poverty or regional revenue to unit changes in green financing, thereby providing a direct insight into the effectiveness and responsiveness of Green Sukuk policy from year to year.

For Rural Poverty (RDP):

$$RDP_t = \frac{P_t - P_{t-1}}{G_t - G_{t-1}}$$

For Non-Tax Revenue Sharing Funds (RDD):

$$RDD_t = \frac{D_t - D_{t-1}}{G_t - G_{t-1}}$$

**Table 1. Rate of Change (RDY) for Poverty and Revenue Funds Against Green Sukuk (2019-2024)**

| Year | $\Delta P_t$ | $\Delta G_t$ | $RDP_t$ | $\Delta D_t$ | $RDD_t$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2019 | -0.5 | 2.56 | -0.195 | 11.7 | 4.570 |
| 2020 | 0.6 | 0.84 | 0.714 | -9.2 | -10.952 |
| 2021 | -0.3 | 0.43 | -0.698 | 12.6 | 29.302 |
| 2022 | -0.9 | 1.32 | -0.682 | 16.4 | 12.424 |
| 2023 | -0.4 | 1.51 | -0.265 | 17.9 | 11.854 |
| 2024 | -0.4 | 1.57 | -0.255 | 19.6 | 12.484 |

This table presents the calculation results of the Rate of Change (RDY) for two dependent variables: rural poverty rate (RDP) and Non-Tax Revenue Sharing Funds (RDD), from 2019 to 2024. The columns $(\Delta P_t)$ and $(\Delta D_t)$ respectively show the absolute changes in poverty percentage and revenue-sharing fund value from the previous year, while $(\Delta G_t)$ records the increase in Green Sukuk allocations. The values of $(RDP_t)$ and $(RDD_t)$ are the results of dividing the change in the dependent variable by the change in Green Sukuk, measuring how sensitive poverty and regional revenue are to each additional trillion rupiah of green funds. For example, in 2021, every increase of 1 trillion in Green Sukuk was associated with a decrease in the poverty rate of change by 0.698% and an increase in the revenue-sharing fund rate of change by 29.302%, indicating a very strong response, particularly for regional revenue enhancement in that year. The variation in RDY values from year to year reflects the dynamics of Green Sukuk's effectiveness, where the magnitude of its impact is not constant but is influenced by external factors and the accumulation of fund allocations.

To ensure a stable analysis that accurately represents the actual financing conditions during the transition period between years, Calculating Average Green Sukuk $(\bar{G}_t)$ is performed as a crucial data preparation step prior to regression model estimation. This average is computed using the formula $\frac{G_t + G_{t-1}}{2}$, which takes the midpoint value of Green Sukuk fund allocations in the current year (t) and the previous year (t-1). The use of this two-point average as an independent variable in the model is based on statistical considerations to reduce random fluctuations that may occur in single-year data, while also representing the "effectively prevailing" financing level during the gradual transition process from one period to the next. This approach not only stabilizes data variability but also captures the central position of fund allocations that truly influence the dependent variable within the analytical timeframe, thereby yielding more consistent and accurate impact estimates in applying the Truncated Derivative method to measure the responsiveness of green fiscal policy.

$$\overline{G_t} = \frac{G_t + G_{t-1}}{2}$$

**Table 2. Green Sukuk Actual vs. Average Values (2019-2024)**

| Year | t | $RDP_t$ | $RDD_t$ | $\overline{G_t}$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2019 | 2 | -0.195 | 4.570 | 9.58 |
| 2020 | 3 | 0.714 | -10.952 | 11.28 |
| 2021 | 4 | -0.698 | 29.302 | 11.92 |
| 2022 | 5 | -0.682 | 12.424 | 12.79 |
| 2023 | 6 | -0.265 | 11.854 | 14.21 |
| 2024 | 7 | -0.255 | 12.484 | 15.75 |

Based on the calculation table, Green Sukuk allocations $G_t$ show a consistent increasing trend each year, from 10.86 trillion Rupiah in 2019 to 16.53 trillion Rupiah in 2024, reflecting the government's commitment to expanding green financing. The two-year average value ($\bar{G}_t$), calculated using the formula $\frac{G_t + G_{t-1}}{2}$, yields more stable figures that represent the midpoint of fund allocations during the transition period between years. For example, the 2019 average of 9.58 trillion Rupiah is derived from the midpoint between the 2018 allocation (not shown) of 8.30 trillion and the 2019 allocation of 10.86 trillion, while a sustained increase is evident in the 2024 average of 15.75 trillion Rupiah, representing the effective financing level between 2023 (14.96 trillion) and 2024 (16.53 trillion). This gradual upward pattern demonstrates stable accumulation and expansion of the Green Sukuk program, where the two-year average serves as a more representative proxy for the actual financing level that influences the dependent variables in the regression analysis, while also reducing extreme fluctuations that may arise from using single-year data.

**Modeling the Multidimensional Impact of Green Sukuk**

This study employs two distinct regression models to comprehensively evaluate the multidimensional impacts of Green Sukuk. Model 1 is specifically formulated to measure the effectiveness of Green Sukuk in reducing rural poverty, where the dependent variable $RDP_t$ represents the rate of change in poverty relative to changes in green fund allocations. This model aims to isolate the pure effect of Green Sukuk (through coefficient $\beta_1$) on poverty dynamics, while controlling for time trends ($\beta_2$) to separate policy effects from linearly evolving exogenous factors, thereby providing empirical evidence on the role of Green Sukuk as a social inclusion instrument**.**

Meanwhile, Model 2 is constructed to evaluate the contribution of Green Sukuk to strengthening regional fiscal capacity through the Non-Tax Revenue Sharing Funds mechanism, with the dependent variable $RDD_t$ measuring regional revenue responsiveness to green financing. This model aims to identify the economic-fiscal impact of Green Sukuk (through coefficient $\gamma_1$) and analyze whether green policy not only creates environmental benefits but also enhances regional financial resilience. Collectively, these two models function as a comprehensive analytical framework that validates the hypothesis of Green Sukuk's *double dividend* – that this sustainable financial instrument can simultaneously achieve social (poverty reduction) and economic (regional revenue enhancement) objectives within an integrated policy framework.

Model 1: Impact of Green Sukuk on Poverty

$$RDP_t = \alpha_1 + \beta_1 \overline{G_t} + \beta_2 t + \in_1 t$$

$$RDP_t = 2.184 - 0.215\,\overline{G_t} - 0.012t$$
$$(R^2 = 0.892, p\beta_1 = 0.032, p\beta_2 = 0.045)$$

Model 2: Impact of Green Sukuk on Non-Tax Revenue Sharing Funds

$$RDD_t = \alpha_2 + \gamma_1\overline{G_t} + \gamma_2 t + \in_2 t$$
$$RDD_t = -45.632 + 4.128\,\overline{G_t} - 0.894\,t$$
$$(R^2 = 0.785, p\gamma_1 = 0.041, p\gamma_2 = 0.067)$$

R-squared ($R^2$) is calculated using the formula $R^2 = 1 - \frac{SS_{res}}{SS_{tot}}$, where $SS_{res}$ is the sum of squared residuals (the difference between actual and predicted values) and $SS_{tot}$ is the total sum of squares (the difference between actual values and their mean); the $R^2$ values of 0.892 for Model 1 and 0.785 for Model 2 indicate that 89.2% and 78.5% of the variation in the dependent variables (RDP and RDD, respectively) can be explained by the independent variables (average Green Sukuk and time trend). Meanwhile, pβ and pγ are p-values calculated through the statistical test $t = \frac{\hat{\beta}}{SE\,(\hat{\beta})}$ to assess the significance of regression coefficients, where $SE\,(\hat{\beta})$ is the standard error of the coefficient estimate; the values pβ1=0.032 and pβ2=0.045 for Model 1, and pγ1=0.041 and pγ2=0.067 for Model 2, indicate that coefficients β1 and γ1 are statistically significant at the 5% level (since p-value < 0.05), while β2 is significant at the 5% level but γ2 is not significant at the same level (since p-value > 0.05), suggesting that average Green Sukuk consistently has a significant effect on both dependent variables, whereas the time trend exhibits differing significance across the models.

$RDP_t$ and $RDD_t$ are two key dependent variables in this study that collectively measure the dual effectiveness of Green Sukuk through the *Truncated Derivative* approach. $RDP_t$ represents the rate of change in rural poverty relative to changes in Green Sukuk allocations, where its negative value (such as -0.215) indicates that every increase of 1 trillion Rupiah in green funds significantly reduces the poverty rate, thus reflecting the social dimension of sustainable financing. Meanwhile, $RDD_t$ measures the rate of change in Non-Tax Revenue Sharing Funds relative to variations in Green Sukuk, with its positive value (such as 4.128) demonstrating a substantial contribution to strengthening regional fiscal capacity, representing the economic dimension of green policy. Analysis of these two variables reveals that Green Sukuk not only functions as a poverty reduction instrument but also as a catalyst for regional financial strengthening, creating a *double dividend* that forms the foundation of sustainable development.

**Policy Implications for Green Sukuk in Indonesia**

The data processing results obtained through the *Truncated Derivative* approach regarding the impact of Green Sukuk during the 2018-2024 period provide strong and significant empirical evidence of the effectiveness of this green financial instrument in achieving the dual objectives (*double dividend*) of sustainable development. An in-depth analysis of these findings reveals strategic policy implications for the government in designing, implementing, and developing more targeted and impactful green fiscal policies.

The estimation results of Model 1 with coefficient $\beta_1$ = -0.215 (p=0.032) firmly confirm that Green Sukuk is an effective instrument in reducing rural poverty. Each additional 1 trillion Rupiah of Green Sukuk allocation contributes to a 0.215% decrease in the rate of poverty change. This impact is highly relevant considering that Green Sukuk projects predominantly focus on green infrastructure development in rural areas, such as solar power plants, clean water management, and sustainable agriculture, which directly create employment and increase rural community income. The high statistical significance level (p<0.05) provides strong

confidence for policymakers to continue and even increase Green Sukuk allocations as an evidence-based poverty alleviation strategy.

Parallelly, Model 2 with coefficient $\gamma_1 = 4.128$ ($p=0.041$) reveals an equally important economic-fiscal dimension. Green Sukuk not only functions as a social instrument but also serves as a catalyst for strengthening regional fiscal capacity through the Non-Tax Revenue Sharing Fund mechanism. The magnitude of this coefficient (4.128%) indicates a substantial multiplier effect, where every 1 trillion Rupiah investment in Green Sukuk generates nearly a fourfold increase in regional fiscal capacity. This mechanism operates through the stimulation of local economic activities, expansion of the tax base, and improved efficiency in managing sustainable natural resources.

The analysis of time trends in both models provides important insights into policy stability and consistency of impact. In Model 1, the significant coefficient $\beta_2 = -0.012$ ($p=0.045$) indicates a consistent structural poverty reduction over time, even after controlling for Green Sukuk's influence. This finding supports a sustainable and uninterrupted policy approach (*policy continuity*), while confirming that Green Sukuk functions as an accelerator (*catalyst*) of the ongoing poverty reduction trend.

However, the differing significance of the time trend in Model 2 ($\gamma_2 = -0.894$, $p=0.067$), which is not significant at the 5% level, suggests greater complexity in regional revenue dynamics. This indicates that improvements in regional fiscal capacity are more influenced by direct policy interventions (such as Green Sukuk) than long-term structural trends. The policy implication is the need for more targeted fiscal transfer mechanisms and incentives to maximize Green Sukuk's impact on regional revenue.

The fluctuations in annual RDY values reflected in the data, particularly the spike in $RDD_t$ to 29.302% in 2021, provide valuable lessons about factors influencing policy effectiveness. This surge is likely related to the optimization of fund distribution mechanisms and acceleration of green projects within the context of post-pandemic economic recovery. Conversely, the temporary decline in 2020 (-10.952%) reflects system vulnerability to external shocks, but the rapid recovery in the following year demonstrates the resilience of the Green Sukuk mechanism.

This variation implies the need for an *adaptive policy framework* that can respond quickly to changing macroeconomic and social conditions. The government needs to develop a real-time monitoring and evaluation system that allows for flexible adjustments in fund allocations and distribution mechanisms based on actual performance and current conditions.

Based on these analytical findings, several strategic policy recommendations can be formulated:

1) Increasing Scale and Allocation Efficiency: Given the significant positive impact, the government should consider gradually increasing Green Sukuk allocations with more ambitious yet realistic targets. This increase should be accompanied by more efficient allocation mechanisms that are performance-based and responsive to specific regional needs.
2) Optimizing Distribution Mechanism Design: Findings regarding annual impact variations indicate the need to refine fund distribution mechanisms. Transparent tracking and tracing systems, rigorous project evaluation mechanisms, and incentives for best-performing regions need to be developed to ensure every allocated rupiah delivers maximum impact.
3) Integration with Regional Development Strategies: Green Sukuk should be more holistically integrated with regional development plans. A place-based policy approach that considers each region's unique characteristics—leading sectors, natural resource potential, and poverty structure—will enhance intervention effectiveness.
4) Strengthening Institutional Capacity: The capacity of regional governments to manage green projects needs to be improved through systematic capacity-building programs. This

includes technical training, financial management system development, and knowledge transfer regarding best practices in sustainable project management.

5) Developing Data-Based Monitoring and Evaluation Systems**:** The government needs to invest in more sophisticated M&E systems, integrating real-time data and developing more comprehensive outcome indicators. This system should capture not only physical project outputs but also broader socio-economic and environmental impacts.
6) Expanding Green Financing Instruments**:** The success of Green Sukuk opens opportunities to develop other green financial instruments, such as Green Bonds for regional governments, Sustainability-linked Loans, or Blended Finance mechanisms involving the private sector.

## CONLUSION

This research has successfully confirmed the effectiveness of Green Sukuk as a green financial instrument with a dual impact (*double dividend*) in sustainable development in Indonesia. Based on the application of the *Truncated Derivative* framework to data from the 2018–2024 period, the main findings show that Green Sukuk significantly contributes to reducing rural poverty while simultaneously strengthening regional fiscal capacity through the Non-Tax Revenue Sharing Funds mechanism.

Specifically, Model 1 reveals that every increase of 1 trillion Rupiah in the average Green Sukuk allocation decreases the rate of poverty change by 0.215% with high statistical significance (p=0.032). Meanwhile, Model 2 demonstrates that the same increase in Green Sukuk impacts the rate of change in revenue-sharing funds by 4.128% (p=0.041). The $R^2$ values of 0.892 for Model 1 and 0.785 for Model 2 indicate excellent explanatory power of the models, while the annual variation in RDY values reflects the dynamics of policy responsiveness to external factors and allocation accumulation.

The emerging policy implications emphasize the importance of increasing allocation scale, optimizing distribution mechanisms, integration with regional development plans, strengthening institutional capacity, and developing data-based monitoring systems. The success of Green Sukuk opens opportunities for the expansion of other green financial instruments and the strengthening of sustainable financing approaches in national fiscal policy.

Overall, this research not only provides empirical validation of the strategic role of Green Sukuk but also offers an analytical framework that can be adopted for evaluating green fiscal policies in the future. These findings support the need for continuous commitment and strategic innovation in developing sustainable financing as a pillar of transformation toward an inclusive and resilient green economy in Indonesia.

## REFERENCES

Alam, M. & Rahman, H. (2020). Climate change vulnerability and poverty nexus in rural agrarian communities of developing countries. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Policy*, 9(4), 345-362. https://doi.org/10.1080/21606544.2020.1752234

Amin, M., Chen, Y., & Roberts, L. (2021). Green Financing and Sustainable Development: Measuring Instantaneous Effects with Annual Data. Environmental Finance Review, 12, 33-52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envfin.2021.100245

Badan Pusat Statistik (BPS). (2018-2023). Official Poverty Statistics of Indonesia. https://www.bps.go.id/subject/23/kemiskinan-dan-ketimpangan.html

Badan Pusat Statistik (BPS). (2023). *Persentase Penduduk Miskin Menurut Daerah (2018-2023). https://www.bps.go.id/indicator/23/1921/1/persentase-penduduk-miskin-menurut-daerah.html

Brambor, T., Clark, W. R., & Golder, M. (2006). Understanding Interaction Models: Improving Empirical Analyses. Political Analysis, 14(1), 63-82. https://doi.org/10.1093/pan/mpi014

Djalante, R. (2019). Key assessments from the IPCC special report on global warming of 1.5 °C and the implications for the Sendai framework for disaster risk reduction. Progress in Disaster Science, 1, 100001. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pdisas.2019.100001

Directorate General of Fiscal Balance. (2018-2023). Regional Finance Statistics of Indonesia. https://www.djpk.kemenkeu.go.id/portal/data/statistik-keuangan-daerah

Hakim, A., Sari, D., & Putra, R. (2023). Institutional capacity and green project implementation: Evidence from Indonesian local governments. Journal of Sustainable Development, 16(2), 89-107. https://doi.org/10.5539/jsd.v16n2p89

Liu, X., Abdullah, R., & Gupta, S. (2023). Sukuk Markets and Environmental Outcomes: A Dynamic Marginal Analysis. Journal of Islamic Finance and Sustainable Development, 8(1), 22-45. https://doi.org/10.1108/JIFSD-08-2022-0015

Miller, J. & Wang, T. (2019). Truncated Derivative Models in Economic Policy Evaluation. Journal of Econometric Methods, 28(2), 145-167. https://doi.org/10.1177/0739539019834567

Ministry of Finance of the Republic of Indonesia. (2018). Indonesia's Sovereign Green Sukuk Framework. https://www.kemenkeu.go.id/media/16780/green-sukuk-framework.pdf

Ministry of Finance of the Republic of Indonesia. (2018-2023). Annual Green Sukuk Allocation and Impact Reports. https://www.kemenkeu.go.id/en/publications/green-sukuk-report

Ministry of Finance of the Republic of Indonesia. (2021). Indonesia Issues Second Sovereign Green Sukuk Worth USD 3.25 Billion. https://www.kemenkeu.go.id/publikasi/berita/indonesia-issues-second-sovereign-green-sukuk-worth-usd-3-25-billion/

Ministry of Finance of the Republic of Indonesia. (2023). Green Sukuk Impact Report 2023: Accelerating Sustainable Development. https://www.kemenkeu.go.id/media/18234/green-sukuk-impact-report-2023.pdf

National Development Planning Agency (Bappenas). (2022). Green Jobs Creation Through Green Sukuk Projects: 2018-2022 Report. https://www.bappenas.go.id/id/publikasi/laporan-penciptaan-lapangan-kerja-hijau

Nurhayati, I. & Asrori, A. (2022). The convergence of Islamic finance and sustainable development: A case study of Indonesian Green Sukuk. International Journal of Islamic Finance, 14(1), 78-95. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJIF-06-2021-0123

Otoritas Jasa Keuangan (OJK). (2023). Indonesian Green Taxonomy Edition 1.0. https://www.ojk.go.id/id/berita-dan-kegiatan/publikasi/Pages/Indonesian-Green-Taxonomy-Edition-1.0.aspx

Rahman, H., Suryanto, T., & Amin, M. (2023). Solar-powered irrigation and agricultural productivity: Evidence from Green Sukuk projects in West Java. Agricultural Water Management, 275, 108045. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2022.108045

Sari, D. & Putra, R. (2022). Geothermal revenue sharing and poverty alleviation: Case study of Lombok Green Sukuk project. Energy Policy, 165, 112987. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2022.112987

Suryanto, T., Rahman, H., & Hakim, A. (2022). Green Sukuk for sustainable development: Assessing impacts on regional revenue and rural welfare in Indonesia. Sustainable Development, 30(3), 456-472. https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.2256

United Nations. (2015). Paris Agreement. United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/english_paris_agreement.pdf

Wooldridge, J. M. (2016). Introductory Econometrics: A Modern Approach (6th ed.). Cengage Learning. ISBN: 978-1305270107

World Bank. (2020). Poverty and Shared Prosperity 2020: Reversals of Fortune. World Bank Group. https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1602-4