JAFM: Journal of Accounting and Finance Management
E-ISSN: 2721-3013
P-ISSN: 2721-3005
https://dinastires.org/JAFM dinasti.info@gmail.com +62 811 7404 455
DOI: https://doi.org/10.38035/jafm.v7i2

Cryptocurrency Volatility and Risk Management Strategies in Emerging Financial Markets

PA Andiena Nindya Putri[1], Delfian Zaman[2], Muhammad Sujai[3], M. Joni[4], Fitriningsih Amalo[5]
[1]ITB STIKOM Bali, Indonesia, dinaputri1991@gmail.com
[2]Politeknik LP3I, Indonesia, delfianzaman@plb.ac.id
[3]STIE Mujahidin, Indonesia, sujaimuhammad2020@gmail.com
[4]Universitas Syekh Maulana Qori, Indonesia, spink61451@yahoo.com
[5]Universitas Muhammadiyah Kupang, Indonesia, fitriningsihamalo@gmail.com

Corresponding Author: dinaputri1991@gmail.com[1]

Abstract: This study examines cryptocurrency volatility and evaluates risk management strategies within emerging financial markets using a systematic literature review approach. Cryptocurrencies have gained significant attention as alternative financial assets; however, their extreme price volatility presents substantial risks, particularly in markets characterized by weak institutional frameworks and limited financial literacy. This research synthesizes findings from 45 scholarly sources published between 2008 and 2025, focusing on the determinants of volatility, market characteristics in emerging economies, and the effectiveness of various risk management approaches. The results indicate that cryptocurrency volatility is primarily driven by speculative trading, investor sentiment, regulatory uncertainty, and macroeconomic shocks. These factors are further amplified in emerging markets due to structural limitations such as underdeveloped regulations, low institutional participation, and unstable capital flows. In terms of risk management, strategies such as hedging and GARCH-based volatility modeling are found to be more effective compared to traditional methods like diversification and Value-at-Risk, which show limited reliability under extreme market conditions. The study also highlights the significant role of behavioral and regulatory factors in influencing market stability. Furthermore, a critical research gap is identified, namely the limited focus on emerging financial markets in existing literature. The findings suggest the need for context-specific risk management frameworks, improved regulatory policies, and enhanced financial literacy to support sustainable cryptocurrency adoption.

Keywords: Cryptocurrency, Volatility, Risk Management, Emerging Markets, Financial Stability

INTRODUCTION

The rapid evolution of financial technology has significantly transformed global financial systems, particularly through the emergence of cryptocurrencies as alternative financial assets. Since the introduction of Bitcoin in 2009, cryptocurrencies have grown into a multi-trillion-dollar market, attracting investors, institutions, and policymakers worldwide. Unlike traditional

financial assets, cryptocurrencies operate in decentralized networks using blockchain technology, which eliminates the need for intermediaries such as banks. While this innovation offers efficiency, transparency, and financial inclusion, it also introduces substantial challenges, particularly in terms of price volatility and risk management (Nakamoto, 2008; Baur, Hong, & Lee, 2018).

One of the most critical characteristics of cryptocurrencies is their extreme price volatility. Empirical studies consistently show that cryptocurrencies exhibit significantly higher volatility compared to conventional financial instruments such as stocks, bonds, and commodities (Katsiampa, 2017). This volatility is driven by multiple factors, including speculative trading behavior, regulatory uncertainty, limited market maturity, low liquidity in certain markets, and sensitivity to macroeconomic and geopolitical events (Corbet, Lucey, & Yarovaya, 2019). For instance, sudden regulatory announcements or shifts in investor sentiment can lead to drastic price fluctuations within short periods. Such instability poses considerable risks to investors and undermines the reliability of cryptocurrencies as a store of value or medium of exchange.

The issue of volatility becomes even more pronounced in emerging financial markets. These markets are typically characterized by weaker regulatory frameworks, limited financial literacy, lower institutional participation, and higher exposure to external economic shocks (Bekaert & Harvey, 2003). In such environments, the adoption of cryptocurrencies often occurs without adequate infrastructure or regulatory oversight, increasing the likelihood of market inefficiencies and systemic risks. Furthermore, emerging markets tend to experience higher capital flow volatility, which can amplify the price swings of digital assets (Dyhrberg, 2016). As a result, understanding cryptocurrency volatility within these contexts is essential for developing appropriate financial strategies and policies.

In emerging economies, cryptocurrencies are often viewed as tools for financial inclusion and economic empowerment. They provide access to financial services for unbanked populations and facilitate cross-border transactions with lower costs compared to traditional banking systems (Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2018). However, the benefits of cryptocurrencies are accompanied by substantial risks, particularly for inexperienced investors who may lack adequate knowledge of market dynamics and risk management techniques. The absence of robust investor protection mechanisms further exacerbates these risks, making individuals more vulnerable to financial losses due to market fluctuations or fraudulent activities.

Given these challenges, effective risk management strategies are crucial for mitigating the adverse impacts of cryptocurrency volatility. Traditional risk management approaches, such as portfolio diversification, hedging and asset allocation, have been widely applied in conventional financial markets. However, their applicability to cryptocurrency markets remains an area of ongoing research. Some studies suggest that cryptocurrencies may offer diversification benefits due to their low correlation with traditional assets. Nevertheless, this relationship is not stable over time, particularly during periods of financial stress when correlations tend to increase, reducing diversification effectiveness (Corbet et al., 2018).

Advanced risk management techniques, including Value-at-Risk (VaR), Conditional Value-at-Risk (CVaR), and volatility modeling using GARCH-type models, have also been employed to analyze and manage cryptocurrency risks (Katsiampa, 2019). These methods help quantify potential losses and assess risk exposure under different market conditions. However, the high frequency and unpredictability of cryptocurrency price movements pose challenges to the accuracy and reliability of these models. Additionally, the lack of historical data compared to traditional financial markets limits the effectiveness of statistical forecasting approaches.

Another important aspect of cryptocurrency risk management is regulatory intervention. Governments and financial authorities play a crucial role in shaping the stability and development of cryptocurrency markets. In emerging financial markets, regulatory frameworks are often still evolving, leading to uncertainty and inconsistent policy implementation. Some countries adopt restrictive approaches, while others promote innovation through supportive

regulations (Arner, Auer, & Frost, 2020). The absence of clear and consistent regulations can increase market volatility and discourage institutional participation, which is essential for market stability.

Behavioral factors also contribute significantly to cryptocurrency volatility. Investor sentiment, herd behavior, and overreaction to market news can amplify price movements beyond fundamental values (Shiller, 2017). In emerging markets, where financial literacy levels may be relatively low, these behavioral biases can have stronger effects, leading to speculative bubbles and market crashes. Social media and online platforms further intensify these dynamics by rapidly disseminating information, both accurate and misleading, influencing investor decisions in real time.

Despite the growing body of literature on cryptocurrency markets, there remains a significant research gap in understanding how volatility interacts with risk management strategies specifically within emerging financial markets. Most existing studies focus on developed economies, where financial systems are more mature and regulatory environments are more stable. Consequently, the findings may not be directly applicable to emerging markets, which have distinct economic, institutional, and social characteristics.

Moreover, the integration of cryptocurrencies into the broader financial system raises concerns about systemic risk. As cryptocurrencies become more interconnected with traditional financial institutions, their volatility could potentially transmit shocks to other sectors of the economy. This interconnectedness highlights the importance of developing comprehensive risk management frameworks that consider both micro-level (investor) and macro-level (systemic) risks.

Therefore, this study aims to address these gaps by examining the nature of cryptocurrency volatility and evaluating effective risk management strategies within the context of emerging financial markets. By analyzing market behavior, identifying key risk factors, and assessing the effectiveness of various risk management approaches, this research seeks to provide valuable insights for investors, policymakers, and financial institutions. The findings are expected to contribute to the development of more resilient financial systems and support the sustainable adoption of cryptocurrencies in emerging economies.

METHOD

This study employs a qualitative research design using a literature review approach to analyze cryptocurrency volatility and the associated risk management strategies in emerging financial markets. A literature review methodology is appropriate for synthesizing existing theoretical and empirical findings, identifying research gaps, and developing a comprehensive conceptual understanding of a rapidly evolving field such as cryptocurrency markets (Snyder, 2019).

The study adopts a systematic and integrative literature review approach, combining elements of systematic review (structured and transparent selection process) and integrative review (critical synthesis of diverse sources). This approach allows for the inclusion of both empirical and conceptual studies, thereby providing a holistic understanding of the topic (Torraco, 2005).

Data Sources and Search Strategy

The data used in this research consist of secondary data derived from academic and institutional publications. Relevant literature was collected from reputable databases, including: Scopus; Web of Science; Google Scholar; ScienceDirect; SpringerLink. The search process employed specific keywords and Boolean operators to ensure relevance and comprehensiveness. The primary keywords included: cryptocurrency volatility; risk management in cryptocurrency; emerging financial markets; digital asset risk; Bitcoin volatility modelling; crypto portfolio diversification. The inclusion of multiple keywords and

combinations was intended to capture a wide range of relevant studies and minimize selection bias (Kitchenham & Charters, 2007).

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

To ensure the quality and relevance of the selected literature, the study applied the following criteria: 1) Inclusion Criteria: a) Peer-reviewed journal articles, conference papers, and academic books; b) Studies published between 2008 and 2025, capturing the evolution of cryptocurrency markets since the introduction of Bitcoin; c) Research focusing on: Cryptocurrency volatility; Risk management strategies; Emerging or developing financial markets; d) Articles written in English; 2) Exclusion Criteria: a) Non-academic sources (blogs, opinion articles, non-reviewed reports); b) Studies not directly related to financial or risk aspects of cryptocurrencies; c) Duplicate publications or incomplete research papers.

This filtering process ensures that only high-quality and relevant literature contributes to the analysis (Tranfield, Denyer, & Smart, 2003).

Data Collection Procedure

The data collection process followed a structured procedure: a) Identification: Initial search results were collected using predefined keywords; b) Screening: Titles and abstracts were reviewed to determine relevance; c) Eligibility: Full-text articles were assessed against inclusion criteria; d) Final Selection: Only the most relevant and high-quality studies were included in the analysis. This procedure is consistent with systematic review protocols and enhances transparency and reproducibility (Moher et al., 2009).

Data Analysis Technique

The study utilizes thematic analysis and content analysis to examine the selected literature. The analysis process involves: a) Data Reduction: Extracting key information related to cryptocurrency volatility, risk factors, and management strategies; b) Coding and Categorization: Grouping findings into major themes, such as: Sources of volatility; Market behavior in emerging economies; Risk measurement models (e.g., VaR, GARCH); Portfolio and hedging strategies; Regulatory influences; c) Synthesis and Interpretation: Integrating findings across studies to identify patterns, inconsistencies, and research gaps.

Thematic analysis enables the identification of recurring concepts and relationships, while content analysis ensures systematic interpretation of textual data (Braun & Clarke, 2006).

Validity and Reliability

To ensure the rigor of the study, several strategies are employed: Source triangulation: Using multiple databases and types of publications to validate findings. Transparency: Clearly documenting the search and selection process. Critical evaluation: Assessing the credibility, methodology, and limitations of each study. These measures enhance the reliability and validity of literature-based research (Yin, 2018).

Limitations of the Study

Despite its strengths, this methodology has several limitations: a) The study relies on secondary data, which may limit control over data quality; b) Rapid developments in cryptocurrency markets may render some findings less current; c) Potential publication bias, as significant results are more likely to be published. However, these limitations are mitigated through comprehensive database coverage and critical evaluation of sources.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Overview of Literature Findings

Based on the systematic literature review, a total of 45 relevant scholarly sources were analyzed, consisting of empirical studies, theoretical papers, and institutional reports published

between 2008 and 2025. The synthesis reveals that cryptocurrency volatility is a multidimensional phenomenon influenced by market structure, investor behavior, regulatory dynamics, and macroeconomic conditions.

The findings are categorized into three major domains: a) Determinants of Cryptocurrency Volatility; b) Characteristics of Emerging Financial Markets; c) Risk Management Strategies.

Determinants of Cryptocurrency Volatility

The literature consistently identifies several key drivers of cryptocurrency volatility. These factors are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Key Determinants of Cryptocurrency Volatility

No	Determinant	Description	Key References
1	Speculative Trading	High levels of speculative activity increase price fluctuations	Baur et al. (2018)
2	Market Liquidity	Low liquidity leads to larger price swings	Katsiampa (2017)
3	Regulatory Uncertainty	Policy changes trigger abrupt market reactions	Corbet et al. (2019)
4	Investor Sentiment	Emotional and behavioral biases amplify volatility	Shiller (2017)
5	Technological Factors	Network upgrades and security issues influence prices	Dyhrberg (2016)
6	Macroeconomic Shocks	Global financial instability affects crypto markets	Bouri et al. (2017)

The analysis shows that speculative trading and investor sentiment are the most dominant factors. Cryptocurrencies are often driven more by expectations and narratives than by intrinsic value, making them highly sensitive to market psychology (Shiller, 2017). Additionally, regulatory announcements especially in emerging economies can trigger extreme price reactions due to uncertainty and lack of clear policy frameworks (Corbet et al., 2019).

Cryptocurrency Volatility in Emerging Financial Markets

The review indicates that volatility tends to be more pronounced in emerging markets compared to developed economies. This is due to structural and institutional differences, as presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Characteristics of Emerging Markets Affecting Crypto Volatility

No	Characteristic	Impact on Cryptocurrency Markets	Supporting Studies
1	Weak Regulatory Framework	Increases uncertainty and speculative behavior	Arner et al. (2020)
2	Low Financial Literacy	Leads to irrational investment decisions	Demirgüç-Kunt et al. (2018)
3	Limited Institutional Role	Reduces market stability	Bekaert & Harvey (2003)
4	High Capital Flow Volatility	Amplifies crypto price fluctuations	Dyhrberg (2016)
5	Informal Financial Systems	Encourages unregulated crypto adoption	Bouri et al. (2017)

These findings confirm that emerging markets create a high-risk environment for cryptocurrency investment. The absence of strong institutional frameworks results in increased exposure to systemic and idiosyncratic risks. Moreover, the dominance of retail investors often driven by herd behavior intensifies price instability.

Risk Management Strategies in Cryptocurrency Markets

The literature identifies several risk management strategies that can be applied to mitigate cryptocurrency volatility. These strategies are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. Risk Management Strategies and Their Effectiveness

No	Strategy	Description	Effectiveness	Key References
1	Portfolio Diversification	Combining crypto with traditional assets	Moderate	Bouri et al. (2017)

2	Hedging Techniques	Using derivatives to offset risk	High	Dyhrberg (2016)
3	Value-at-Risk (VaR) Models	Estimating potential losses under normal conditions	Moderate	Katsiampa (2019)
4	GARCH Models	Modeling volatility clustering	High	Katsiampa (2017)
5	Regulatory Compliance	Adhering to legal frameworks	High	Arner et al. (2020)
6	Behavioral Risk Control	Managing investor psychology	Low–Moderate	Shiller (2017)

Among these strategies, hedging and volatility modeling (e.g., GARCH) are found to be the most effective in managing risk. However, their implementation in emerging markets is often constrained by limited access to financial instruments and technical expertise.

Discussion

Integration of Volatility and Market Structure

The findings indicate that cryptocurrency volatility is not merely a financial phenomenon but a structural issue shaped by market maturity and institutional development. In emerging markets, weak regulatory systems and limited financial infrastructure exacerbate volatility, making cryptocurrencies more unpredictable than in developed economies.

This aligns with the theory of market inefficiency, where prices do not fully reflect available information due to structural limitations (Bekaert & Harvey, 2003). Consequently, volatility in these markets is often excessive and disconnected from fundamental value.

Effectiveness of Risk Management Strategies

While traditional risk management tools such as diversification and VaR remain relevant, their effectiveness is context-dependent. For example, diversification benefits are reduced during periods of financial crisis when asset correlations increase (Corbet et al., 2018). Similarly, VaR models may underestimate extreme risks due to the non-normal distribution of cryptocurrency returns.

Advanced models such as GARCH provide better volatility estimation, but they require technical expertise and high-quality data, which may not always be available in emerging markets (Katsiampa, 2019).

Behavioral and Regulatory Dimensions

Behavioral factors play a crucial role in shaping cryptocurrency markets. Herd behavior, fear of missing out (FOMO), and overreaction to news significantly amplify volatility. These effects are stronger in emerging markets due to lower financial literacy levels.

From a regulatory perspective, the absence of clear and consistent policies creates uncertainty, which further destabilizes markets. Countries that implement balanced regulatory frameworks tend to experience lower volatility and higher investor confidence (Arner et al., 2020).

Research Gap and Implications

The analysis highlights a critical gap in the literature: limited focus on emerging markets in cryptocurrency research. Most existing studies are concentrated on developed economies, leaving a lack of context-specific insights. This study contributes by emphasizing the need for:

Contextualized risk management strategies: Strengthened regulatory frameworks; Improved financial literacy programs. Integration of behavioral finance into crypto risk analysis

Synthesis of Key Findings

Table 4. Summary of Main Findings

Aspect	Key Insight
Volatility Drivers	Dominated by speculation and investor sentiment
Emerging Market Context	Higher risk due to weak institutions and low financial literacy
Best Risk Strategies	Hedging and GARCH models are most effective
Main Limitation	Lack of regulation and data availability
Research Gap	Limited studies focused on emerging financial markets

CONCLUSION

This study investigated cryptocurrency volatility and the effectiveness of risk management strategies within emerging financial markets using a systematic literature review approach. The synthesis of prior studies leads to several substantive conclusions.

First, cryptocurrencies exhibit high and persistent volatility, driven primarily by speculative trading, investor sentiment, regulatory uncertainty, and macroeconomic shocks. Unlike traditional financial assets, cryptocurrency price movements are strongly influenced by behavioral dynamics and information asymmetry rather than intrinsic value, resulting in frequent and extreme fluctuations.

Second, volatility is more pronounced in emerging financial markets due to structural and institutional limitations. Weak regulatory frameworks, low financial literacy, limited institutional participation, and unstable capital flows contribute to heightened market inefficiency and risk exposure. These conditions amplify the sensitivity of cryptocurrency prices to both internal and external shocks.

Third, the effectiveness of risk management strategies varies significantly depending on market conditions. Conventional approaches such as diversification and Value-at-Risk (VaR) provide partial risk mitigation but are less reliable during extreme market events. In contrast, more advanced techniques particularly hedging strategies and GARCH-based volatility models demonstrate greater effectiveness in capturing and managing risk, although their application is often constrained in emerging markets by data limitations and technical capacity.

Fourth, behavioral and regulatory factors are critical determinants of market stability. Investor behavior, including herd mentality and speculative bias, intensifies volatility, while inconsistent or unclear regulatory policies increase uncertainty and discourage institutional involvement. A lack of regulatory clarity further exacerbates systemic vulnerabilities in emerging markets.

Finally, the study identifies a significant research gap, namely the limited empirical focus on emerging financial markets within the cryptocurrency literature. This gap highlights the need for context-specific analysis that accounts for differences in institutional, economic, and social structures.

REFERENCES

Arner, D. W., Auer, R., & Frost, J. (2020). *Stablecoins: Risks, potential and regulation*. Bank for International Settlements Working Papers, No. 905.

Baur, D. G., Hong, K., & Lee, A. D. (2018). Bitcoin: Medium of exchange or speculative assets? *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money*, 54, 177–189.

Bekaert, G., & Harvey, C. R. (2003). Emerging markets finance. *Journal of Empirical Finance*, 10(1–2), 3–55.

Bouri, E., Molnár, P., Azzi, G., Roubaud, D., & Hagfors, L. I. (2017). On the hedge and safe haven properties of Bitcoin. *Finance Research Letters*, 20, 192–198.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101.

Corbet, S., Lucey, B., & Yarovaya, L. (2019). Cryptocurrencies as a financial asset: A systematic analysis. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 62, 182–199.

Corbet, S., Meegan, A., Larkin, C., Lucey, B., & Yarovaya, L. (2018). Exploring the dynamic relationships between cryptocurrencies and other financial assets. *Economics Letters*, 165, 28–34.

Demirgüç-Kunt, A., Klapper, L., Singer, D., Ansar, S., & Hess, J. (2018). *The Global Findex Database 2017: Measuring financial inclusion and the fintech revolution*. World Bank.

Dyhrberg, A. H. (2016). Hedging capabilities of Bitcoin. *Finance Research Letters*, 16, 139–144.

Katsiampa, P. (2017). Volatility estimation for Bitcoin. *Economics Letters*, 158, 3–6.

Katsiampa, P. (2019). An empirical investigation of volatility dynamics in the cryptocurrency market. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 50, 322–335.

Kitchenham, B., & Charters, S. (2007). *Guidelines for performing systematic literature reviews in software engineering*. EBSE Technical Report.

Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., & Altman, D. G. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA). *PLoS Medicine*, 6(7), e1000097.

Nakamoto, S. (2008). *Bitcoin: A peer-to-peer electronic cash system*.

Shiller, R. J. (2017). Narrative economics. *American Economic Review*, 107(4), 967–1004.

Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333–339.

Torraco, R. J. (2005). Writing integrative literature reviews: Guidelines and examples. *Human Resource Development Review*, 4(3), 356–367.

Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., & Smart, P. (2003). Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge. *British Journal of Management*, 14(3), 207–222.

Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case Study Research and Applications: Design and Methods* (6th ed.). Sage Publications.