JLPH: Journal of Law, Politic and Humanities
E-ISSN: 2962-2816
P-ISSN: 2747-1985
https://dinastires.org/JLPH dinasti.info@gmail.com +62 811 7404 455
DOI: https://doi.org/10.38035/jlph.v5i4

Patient's Right to Consent to Medical Procedures from the Perspective of Health Law, Bioethics, and Human Rights

Ni Putu Parvathi Priyadarshini[1], I Gusti Ayu Putri Kartika[2].
[1]Master of Health Law, Udayana University, Bali, Indonesia, drg.miaparvathi@gmail.com.
[2]Master of Health Law, Udayana University, Bali, Indonesia, akartika09@yahoo.co.id.

Corresponding Author: drg.miaparvathi@gmail.com[1]

Abstract: The patient's right to consent to medical procedures is a vital element in the relationship between patients and healthcare providers, connected to health law, bioethics, and human rights, all focusing on the protection of patient autonomy. This study analyzes the patient's right to consent from the perspectives of health law, bioethics, and human rights, and identifies challenges in its implementation in Indonesia. A normative method is employed with legislative, conceptual, and comparative approaches. Data is gathered by analyzing national regulations, bioethical principles, and literature on human rights. Descriptive-analytical analysis was used to explore the synergy between these three perspectives in medical consent implementation. Findings reveal that the patient's right to consent is regulated by Law No. 17 of 2023 and other relevant regulations. Bioethics stresses respecting patient autonomy, while human rights ensure access to information and the freedom to consent. Challenges include paternalistic cultural attitudes, low public awareness, and inadequate healthcare facilities. Recommendations include strengthening regulations, providing bioethics training for healthcare professionals, and educating the public to safeguard patient rights in medical procedures in accordance with health law, bioethics, and human rights.

Keyword: Patient's Rights, Medical Consent, Health Law, Bioethics, Human Rights.

INTRODUCTION

Informed consent for medical procedures is a fundamental element in the relationship between doctors and patients. In this process, patients are granted the right to understand the diagnosis, objectives, risks, benefits, and alternatives to the medical actions to be taken. This process not only provides legal protection for the doctor but also strengthens the patient's right to make decisions that align with their own wishes and condition. Beauchamp & Childress (2019) emphasize that consent given consciously and voluntarily is a form of respect for the principle of patient autonomy. Without medical consent, actions taken can be considered violations of the patient's rights, even falling into the category of malpractice or violation of medical ethics (Smith, 2023).

However, in practice, there are still many barriers preventing the proper execution of informed consent. One of the main obstacles is the lack of understanding patients have about their rights, especially in developing countries. Additionally, the knowledge disparity between doctors and patients often causes patients to feel they have no control over medical decisions. Therefore, informed consent is not only a legal obligation but also a moral foundation that must be upheld in the therapeutic relationship between doctors and patients (Nasution, 2023).

In health law, the regulation of informed consent is explicitly established to provide legal protection for both patients and healthcare providers. In Indonesia, Law No. 17 of 2023 on Health underscores that every medical procedure must obtain the patient's consent as part of respecting their rights. This is reinforced by Regulation of the Minister of Health of the Republic of Indonesia No. 290/Menkes/Per/III/2008 on Medical Consent, which requires healthcare providers to offer clear information to patients before obtaining their consent. This regulation demonstrates the government's strong commitment to protecting patient rights, although its implementation still faces various challenges (Permenkes No. 290 of 2008).

At the international level, the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) also acknowledges that the right to physical and mental integrity is a fundamental human right that cannot be ignored. Thus, medical consent is not only an ethical and legal obligation at the national level but also has global relevance. The combination of national regulations and international commitments provides a crucial foundation for creating medical practices that respect human rights (Pawestri, 2017).

From a bioethical perspective, medical consent reflects the application of medical ethics principles, particularly autonomy and justice. The principle of autonomy acknowledges that each individual has the right to make decisions based on the information they have without pressure or coercion. Meanwhile, the principle of justice requires healthcare providers to treat patients fairly, including offering equal information to every individual regardless of their social, economic, or cultural background (Elmadiani, 2020).

Furthermore, this principle also relates to the responsibility of doctors in providing clear and transparent information to patients. A lack of adequate information can lead to bioethical violations and erode patient trust in healthcare institutions. Therefore, the implementation of informed consent requires not only legal regulations but also the integration of bioethical values in medical education and practice (Purba, 2024).

Although legal regulations and bioethical values have been designed, violations of patient rights still occur frequently. One notable case is a surgical procedure performed without the patient's consent, which was reported in Indonesia in 2020. In this case, the doctor took action without providing sufficient information to the patient's family, citing an emergency situation. Furthermore, similar violations have also occurred globally, particularly in countries with less optimal healthcare systems (Mulyani, 2020).

The World Health Organization (2018) notes that the lack of education about patient rights and inadequate supervision of medical practices are the main causes of these violations. This phenomenon shows that, despite the legal mechanisms in place for medical consent, there is still a significant gap in its implementation in practice. This indicates the need for a more comprehensive approach to protect patient rights (WHO, 2018).

To address these violations, education for both patients and healthcare providers is a key solution that must be emphasized. Patients should be educated about their rights to receive adequate information before giving consent for medical procedures. On the other hand, healthcare providers should receive training in bioethics principles, health law, and effective communication when delivering information to patients (Pratiwi, 2019).

In addition, supervision of the implementation of regulations must also be improved. Governments and healthcare institutions need to collaborate to ensure that every medical procedure complies with legal and ethical standards. By taking these steps, it is hoped that

violations of patient rights can be minimized, leading to a more harmonious doctor-patient relationship based on the respect for human rights (Mulyani, 2020).

METHOD

Juridical-normative legal research is a research method that prioritizes the use of primary legal materials by delving into relevant theories, concepts, legal principles, and legislation related to the topic being studied. This research employs a statute approach, conceptual approach, and comparative approach. These approaches are carried out by reviewing all the regulations related to the legal issues being discussed. The process of gathering legal materials is done through a literature review, including primary, secondary, and tertiary legal sources. Once the legal materials are collected, the next step is to inventory and classify these materials according to the research problem formulation. Then, these materials are organized systematically to be further analyzed in order to answer the research questions.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Patient's Right to Medical Consent in Health Law Perspective: An Analysis of Patient Rights Implementation in Indonesia

The patient's right to medical consent, known as informed consent, is clearly regulated in Article 45 of Law No. 29 of 2004 on Medical Practice. This regulation emphasizes that every medical action must be preceded by the patient's consent after receiving adequate information. The implementation of this right is also supported by the Minister of Health Regulation No. 290/MENKES/PER/III/2008, which provides detailed procedures for obtaining medical consent. However, despite the availability of these regulations, their implementation on the ground still faces several challenges. Some hospitals and healthcare facilities in Indonesia have not fully prioritized providing complete information to patients, especially in emergency situations. A lack of understanding among medical professionals about the legal obligations regarding informed consent is one of the factors that hinder the optimal implementation of patients' rights (Anggraini, 2020).

Furthermore, the public’s perception of patient rights also influences the enforcement of this regulation. Many patients in Indonesia still leave the decision entirely to medical professionals without seeking further explanations. This indicates that patients' awareness of their rights remains low. A study by Suharyo et al. (2019) revealed that 65% of patients in regional hospitals did not fully understand their right to consent to or refuse medical procedures. Therefore, a comprehensive approach is needed to enhance patient education and provide training to medical professionals regarding the implementation of informed consent.

Cases of violations of patients' rights to medical consent occur fairly frequently in Indonesia. One notable case was a negligence incident at Regional General Hospital X in West Java in 2018, where a patient underwent surgery without prior complete explanation. This case led to a conflict between the patient's family and the hospital, culminating in a lawsuit. The court ruling found the hospital guilty of violating the patient’s right to complete information as regulated in Article 45 of the Medical Practice Law (Source: Bandung District Court Decision No. 178/Pdt.G/2018).

Another issue arises in the context of healthcare services in remote areas. In many remote regions, doctors or medical personnel often find it difficult to provide detailed explanations to patients due to time constraints, limited facilities, or even language barriers. In such situations, patients' rights to informed consent are frequently overlooked. Another example is in the case of new medical technologies, where patients are not always provided with adequate information about the risks and benefits of such technologies. This highlights a gap in the implementation of the law that requires more attention from the government and relevant stakeholders (Rahman, 2021).

Recommendations from various studies indicate that supervision of healthcare facilities, training for medical professionals, and public awareness campaigns need to be intensified. In addition, strict law enforcement against violations of patient rights can serve as a major driver to ensure the implementation of these rights in accordance with the regulations. Through a collaborative approach, Indonesia can create a healthcare system that better respects and protects patient rights.

Patient's Rights from a Bioethical Perspective

Bioethical principles play a crucial role in ensuring that medical consent is obtained ethically and humanely. The four main bioethical principles, autonomy, beneficence, non-maleficence, and justice, serve as the foundation for interactions between medical professionals and patients. The principle of autonomy emphasizes that patients have the right to make decisions about their own bodies, including accepting or refusing medical treatment after receiving adequate information. Additionally, the principles of beneficence and non-maleficence require healthcare providers to maximize benefits for patients while minimizing the risks or potential harm associated with medical procedures. In this context, justice ensures that all patients are treated equally, without discrimination, in terms of access to medical information and healthcare services. The integration of these principles in obtaining medical consent not only fulfills the ethical obligations of the medical profession but also reinforces the respect for human dignity as the primary foundation of bioethics.

Ethical challenges often arise in the application of bioethics in practice, particularly concerning the process of obtaining medical consent. One of the main challenges is the information gap between healthcare providers and patients. Patients often struggle to understand complex medical terminology, which makes it difficult for them to make decisions based on clear and complete information. Moreover, the time pressure often experienced by medical professionals in emergency situations can result in the consent process being less than optimal. Value conflicts also present a significant challenge, especially when a patient's cultural values or beliefs conflict with medical recommendations. Another challenge is balancing the principle of patient autonomy with the beneficence of healthcare providers. In certain situations, a patient's decision based on personal autonomy may be seen as inconsistent with the medical view of what is best for their health. Addressing these challenges requires a holistic approach, involving education, effective communication, and empathy in medical practice (Law No. 29 of 2004).

Patient's Rights from the Human Rights Perspective

The right to health is an integral part of human rights recognized globally. Article 25 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) affirms that everyone has the right to a standard of living adequate for health and well-being, including access to necessary medical care and social services. This is further reinforced by the International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights (ICESCR), which includes the right to health as a fundamental right that must be fulfilled by the state. This right not only encompasses access to healthcare services but also the fulfillment of social determinants of health, such as clean water, a healthy environment, and adequate nutrition (UNESCO, 2005).

In the context of patients, the right to health includes consent for medical procedures. This principle aligns with the concept of individual autonomy recognized in bioethics and human rights, where patients have the right to make decisions regarding their own bodies. Accepting or refusing medical treatment is an exercise of the individual's human rights to maintain their bodily integrity. This perspective illustrates how the right to health is not only a social right but also closely tied to civil and political rights (Jonsen, 2015).

However, the implementation of the right to health as part of human rights often faces challenges. Disparities in healthcare access across countries show that the right to health is not

yet fully guaranteed. Economic, social, and political factors often influence how far individuals can enjoy this right. Therefore, the right to health, as part of human rights, requires state responsibility to ensure its fair and equitable fulfillment (Gostin & Gruskin, 2004).

The state has a primary obligation to ensure the fulfillment of patients' rights to health, including the right to provide medical consent. This obligation includes three main aspects: respect, protection, and fulfillment of these rights. In terms of respect, the state must ensure that patients have the freedom to give or refuse medical consent without coercion. This aligns with the bioethical principle of patient autonomy, which involves the right to make decisions based on clear information (Beauchamp & Childress, 2013).

Protection of patients' rights is also the responsibility of the state. The state must regulate and supervise healthcare services to prevent violations of patients' rights by medical personnel or healthcare institutions. For example, policies related to informed consent should be enforced to prevent medical actions without patient consent. Protection also includes providing access to legal mechanisms for patients who believe their rights have been violated (Callahan, 2003).

In terms of fulfillment, the state needs to ensure that healthcare facilities, medications, and medical personnel are adequately available. Disparities in the distribution of healthcare resources often pose a barrier to fulfilling patients' rights, especially in rural or remote areas. Public policies that are inclusive and oriented towards social justice are crucial in addressing this issue. The World Health Organization (WHO, 2020) also emphasizes the importance of a universal health coverage approach as a strategic step to guarantee the fulfillment of each individual's right to health.

An evaluation of the state's role in guaranteeing patients' rights shows that although many countries have adopted progressive policies, their implementation still faces obstacles. Political factors, bureaucracy, and economic capacity are major barriers. Therefore, a greater commitment from the state is required to ensure that patients' rights are not only legally recognized but also practically applied in the healthcare system.

Challenges and Solutions in the Implementation of Patient's Rights

The main challenges in the implementation of patients' rights to medical consent arise from legal, cultural, and social factors. From a legal perspective, not all healthcare providers have an adequate understanding of the informed consent principles as outlined in Law No. 17 of 2023 on Health. Some of the implementing regulations related to medical consent remain general and lack detailed technical guidelines. Furthermore, weak supervision of patients' rights implementation often leads to undetected violations. In the cultural context, there is a tendency in Indonesian society to view doctors as authoritative figures whose decisions should not be questioned, which leads patients to hesitate in seeking further explanations or refusing medical procedures. Social factors, such as low levels of education and legal awareness among the public, exacerbate this situation, as patients often do not fully understand their rights.

For instance, a study by Marzuki (2020) found that over 60% of patients in several hospitals in Indonesia did not receive sufficient explanation before signing medical consent forms. This indicates a gap between existing regulations and their implementation on the ground. This situation is also influenced by a healthcare system that sometimes prioritizes efficiency, which leads to less-than-optimal communication between doctors and patients. Therefore, legal understanding, cultural sensitivity, and increasing public education are important steps to ensure that patients' rights are protected.

To address these challenges, comprehensive policy recommendations and practices are needed to ensure the implementation of patients' rights to medical consent. The government must strengthen regulations governing informed consent by developing more detailed and specific technical guidelines. These guidelines could include mandatory procedures that healthcare providers must follow before requesting patient consent, such as providing verbal and written information, using language that is easy to understand, and giving patients enough

time to consider their decision. Additionally, strengthening supervision of the implementation of patients' rights is necessary, both through government agencies and professional healthcare organizations.

From a cultural perspective, it is essential to educate the public about the importance of understanding their rights as patients. Public campaigns can be conducted to raise awareness about informed consent as a form of protection for bodily integrity and human rights. In addition, healthcare providers should be trained to develop more empathetic communication skills and be sensitive to patients' cultural backgrounds. This communication practice not only strengthens the relationship between patients and doctors but also improves patient compliance with agreed-upon treatments.

In addition to regulations and education, strengthening the role of information technology is also an important recommendation. The use of digital-based applications can facilitate electronic documentation of informed consent, making it more transparent and accessible for legal purposes when necessary. With a holistic approach, the implementation of patients' rights to medical consent can be more effective and just (Nugroho, 2018).

CONCLUSION

The patient's right to medical consent is an important component in health law, bioethics, and human rights. From a health law perspective, this right is regulated under Law No. 17 of 2023 and Minister of Health Regulations, although its implementation is still hindered by a lack of understanding among healthcare providers. The bioethics perspective emphasizes the importance of respecting patient autonomy, but ineffective communication often becomes a barrier. From a human rights standpoint, this right reflects the freedom to make decisions regarding one's health, although violations still occur in certain situations. To strengthen the implementation of patient rights, the government needs to improve the dissemination of regulations, legal supervision, and resource support in remote areas. Healthcare providers are advised to enhance their communication skills, understanding of bioethics, and respect for patient autonomy. The public should be educated about patient rights and the importance of informed consent, and encouraged to be more proactive in seeking information. With these steps, it is hoped that the protection of patient rights will be optimized, fostering a harmonious relationship between patients and healthcare providers.

REFERENCE

Anggraini, D. (2020). Hak Pasien dalam Perspektif Hukum Kesehatan di Indonesia. Jakarta: Rajawali Press.

Beauchamp, T. L., & Childress, J. F. (2013). Principles of Biomedical Ethics. Oxford University Press.

Callahan, D. (2003). Principlism and communitarianism. Journal of Medical Ethics, 29(5), 287–291.

Elmadiani, R. A. (2020). Penerapan prinsip bioetika dalam persetujuan tindakan kedokteran. Repository UGM.

Gillon, R. (1994). Medical ethics: Four principles plus attention to scope. BMJ, 309(6948), 184–188.

Gostin, L. O., & Gruskin, S. (2004). Human rights approach to public health policy. Journal of Public Health Policy.

Inspektorat Jenderal Kementerian Kesehatan. (2021). Pentingnya sistem pengawasan dalam implementasi kebijakan pelayanan kesehatan. Kemhan.

Jonsen, A. R., Siegler, M., & Winslade, W. J. (2015). Clinical Ethics: A Practical Approach to Ethical Decisions in Clinical Medicine. McGraw Hill Professional.

Kementerian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia. (2008). Peraturan Menteri Kesehatan Nomor 290/MENKES/PER/III/2008 tentang Persetujuan Tindakan Kedokteran. Jakarta: Kementerian Kesehatan RI.

Marzuki, A. (2020). Kesenjangan pelaksanaan informed consent di Indonesia: Tinjauan empiris dan hukum. Jurnal Hukum Kesehatan Indonesia, 12(3), 245-258.

Mulyani, T. (2020). Tanggung gugat dokter atas operasi tanpa persetujuan pasien. Repository Ubaya.

Nasution, B. J. (2023). Informed consent pada kasus kegawatdaruratan di rumah sakit. Review Unnes.

Nugroho, T. (2018). Hak asasi pasien dalam perspektif hukum dan etika kedokteran. Jurnal Etika Kedokteran, 10(1), 15-29.

Pawestri, A. (2017). Hak penyandang disabilitas dalam perspektif HAM internasional dan HAM nasional. Jurnal Hukum, 2(1).

Presiden RI. (2023). Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 17 Tahun 2023 tentang Kesehatan. Undang-Undang, 187315, 1–300.

Pratiwi, A. A. (2019). Pengaruh edukasi terhadap pengetahuan tentang hak dan kewajiban pasien. Jurnal Ilmiah Kesehatan Promotif, 6(1), 45-50.

Pusat Bioetik Nasional. (2019). Prinsip Bioetika dalam Praktik Medis di Indonesia. Jakarta: Penerbit Bioetik Nusantara.

Purba, G. P. (2024). Tanggung jawab dokter terhadap pasien ditinjau dari aspek hukum. Majelis, 1(3).

Rahman, A. (2021). Tantangan implementasi informed consent di fasilitas kesehatan daerah terpencil. Jurnal Hukum Kesehatan Indonesia, 9(2), 45-58.

Smith, B. T., Warren, C. M., Rosella, L. C., & Smith, M. J. (2023). Bridging ethics and epidemiology: Modelling ethical standards of health equity. SSM – Population Health, 24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssmph.2023.101481

Suharyo, D., Santoso, B., & Wulandari, R. (2019). Analisis pemahaman pasien terhadap hak atas persetujuan medis di rumah sakit daerah. Jurnal Etika Kedokteran Indonesia, 7(1), 20-32.

UNESCO. (2005). Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights.

United Nations. (1948). Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

United Nations. (1966). International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR).

Undang-Undang Nomor 29 Tahun 2004 tentang Praktik Kedokteran.

WHO. (2018). Patient Safety: Global Action on Patient Safety. Geneva: World Health Organization.

WHO. (2020). Universal Health Coverage: Moving Towards Better Health for All. Geneva: World Health Organization.

World Health Organization. (2021). Informed Consent: Ethical and Legal Perspectives. Geneva: WHO Press.